

AUTONOMOUS

(Reaccredited with 'A' Grade by NAAC)
Affiliated to University of Kerala




1.4.2 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK PG 2017-2019

IQACINTERNAL QUALITY
ASSURANCE CELL


Feedback 1: Faculty stimulated my interest in the subject

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	55	80	20	3	2	160
Percentage	34.38	50	12.5	1.875	1.25	100




Feedback 2: Faculty encouraged discussions and responded to questions

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	78	75	6	1	0	160
Percentage	48.75	46.88	3.75	0.625	0	100




Feedback 3: The course contents were delivered as outlined in the syllabus

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	84	53	16	4	3	160
Percentage	52.5	33.13	10	2.5	1.875	100




Feedback 4: Projects/ Assignments were related to the course learning outcomes

	Strongly Agree	rongly Agree Neutral Disagree	Disagree	Strongly	Total	
					Disagree	
Frequency	64	76	16	2	2	160
Percentage	40	47.5	10	1.25	1.25	100




Feedback 5: The learning activities were consistent with course objectives

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	85	73	2	0	0	160
Percentage	53.13	45.63	1.25	0	0	100




Feedback 6: There was excellent coordination between lab work / experiential learning (projects / assignments / seminars and lecture)

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	84	70	5	1	0	160
Percentage	52.5	43.75	3.125	0.625	0	100




Feedback 7: I have achieved the learning outcomes

	Strongly Agree	ongly Agree Neutral Disa	Disagree	Strongly	Total	
					Disagree	
Frequency	72	75	12	0	1	160
Percentage	45	46.88	7.5	0	0.625	100




Feedback 8: I have acquired skills to attempt competitive examinations

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	82	69	8	1	0	160
Percentage	51.25	43.13	5	0.625	0	100




Feedback 9: This was a worthwhile programme

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	66	83	11	0	0	160
Percentage	41.25	51.88	6.875	0	0	100



Feedback 10: I would recommend this programme to a fellow student

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Total
	Agree				Disagree	
Frequency	64	87	9	0	0	160
Percentage	40	54.38	5.625	0	0	100



Feedback Analysis

The first three questions pertain to the faculty assessment and the effectiveness of the teaching process. The relatively high responses (84%, 96% and 85%) in the Strongly Agree and Agree levels indicate the positive feedback in this regard. Even though the percentage of responses in the negative side is very low, it is recommended that the reasons behind this may be identified, to sort out this issue positively.

The next four questions are on the learning outcomes and curricular activities. Here again a strong majority of students have positive responses (88%, 99%, 97% and 92%), which indicate that the curricular changes brought about in the last revision has made a significant change. However, in the light of some negative feedback in this regard, it is recommended that the teaching departments may identify the student dissatisfaction and take remedial measures necessary.

The last three questions focus on the students' satisfaction level about the programmes. The significant positive responses (94%, 93% and 94%) in this level indicate the level of success of the programmes.

Conclusion

The report is submitted to the IQAC, Heads of the Departments, Deans of Faculties, Chairmen of the BOS, and the College Council.

Dr. Noeline M. Fernandez

Co-ordinator, IQAC

Dr. Vincent B. Netto

Principal